O.S - 100

(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

Writ Petition No. 3173 /2004.

PETITIONER : Munni Lal Yadav S/o Shri Baladin Yadav, aged 54 years, Forest Ranger, Range Officer, Forest Range Office (Territorial) Bijapur, Forest Divn. Bijapur, Distt. South Bastar Dantewada (C.G.).

P.R. No. 3170/04
Presented by Shri B.N. Upendra Singh
dated 9-9-04

Ve r s u s

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh Through : Chief Secretary, Forest Department, D.K.S.Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

2. Prime Chief Conservator of Forest Chhattisgarh, Raipur (C.G.).

3. Conservator of Forest, Circle Jagdalpur at Jagdalpur, Distt. Bastar (C.G.).

4. Divisional Forest Officer, Bijapur Division Bijapur, Distt. South Bastar Dantewada (C.G.).

5. Sudarshan Singh, Forest Ranger, Range Officer, Forest Range Office Machkot, Forest Division Bastar Distt. Bastar (C.G.).

RECEIVED COPY 9/9/04 Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR APPROPRIATE WRIT/WRITS AND DIRECTION/ DIRECTIONS.

...submit as under :-

(38)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO.3173 OF 2004

Petitioner : Munni Lal Yadav

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : None appears for the petitioner.
Mr. Utkarsh Verma, Deputy Government Advocate for the State/respondents No.1 to 4.
None appears for respondent No.5.

ORDER

(Passed on 28th Day of February, 2007)

1. The petitioner challenges the validity of the impugned order dated 3-9-2004 (P-7) transferring respondent No.5 in place where the petitioner is working, i.e., as Range Officer at Forest Range Office Bijapur, Forest Division Bijapur, District South Bastar Dantewada.

2. This Court, vide interim order dated 22-9-2004, directed that the operation and effect of the impugned transfer order dated 3-9-2004 (P-7) shall remain stayed. In compliance with the interim order granted by this Court, respondent No.5 has continued on the same place of posting.

3. With the passage of time, the writ petition has become infructuous, to which learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents No.1 to 4 has no objection.

(39)

4. Thus, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Gopal